# Workers Rights and Strategies in China

May Wong
Asia Monitor Resource Centre
23 August 2006





### Changes of worker status in state sectors after opening up

- Workers under "socialist" system
  - ---SOE/Collective workers
  - ---master of the society
  - ---protected "from cradle to grave"
- Workers under market economy
  - ---lay offs in SOEs/Collectives (30 millions)
  - ---Lost all basic protection ie health, social security, pension, subsidies...
  - ---social class at the lowest strata





### The new working class in FDI factories in China

- \* Since the early 80s, mobile population becomes the major workforce in the EPZs/FTZs in manufacturing sector in China. 100 million (whole China) and 23 million (Guangdong) which in many industrial zones outnumber locals. Another 100 million is coming...
- Young (16-25), single, in-experienced and unskilled, finished 9 year education
- \* Most women(>80%) engaged in light industries for export: Toy, garment, footwear, electronics, etc.
- Both produce for local manufacturers and international brands (both for local & international markets)

## Unenforced labor law and awful Working Conditions

- No labor contract
- \* Low wages (USD 60-100/month) and delayed wages for months
- Hours of work & excessive Overtime (14-16 hours/day)
- No or little OT payment
- OSH chemicals, workplace related injuries and diseases
- \* No Insurance schemes (maternity Leave, pension, medicare, umployment, work-related injuries)
- \* Crowded dormitory (12-16/room) by shifts
- No holidays and leave
- \* No pre-job Training
- No effective communication, organising and negotiation mechanisms, eg. FOA & CBA
- \* Physical & verbal abuses and sexual harassment
- \* No social and economic upward mobility
- Discrimination (ie Household Registration System)



#### Anti-Chicco Campaign (1993-2001)

Died in Zhili fire while producing toys for foreign brand (Chicco). The fire killed 87 and injured 47 and most of the were young women and girls.



### Code and CSR Campaign (1993-2001)

- 7 year Campaign against Chicco before getting compensation for Chinese workers
- \* Start Code Movement in HK:
- ---Kadar Fire and US brand in Thailand(1993)
- ---Toy Campaign in HK, Asia & Europe (1998-2001)
- --- Master Toy and HK brand in Thailand(2001)
- ---Mattel (Barbies) & Disney in China (2001)
- ---McDonalds' child labor (2001)



#### Recent CSR Campaigns

- \* Stella International, Computine (2004)...
- \* Campaigns with work-related diseases: Gold Peak (GP), Lucky Gem(2004-06)...



### Who protect labor rights in China?

- \* Workers themselves
- Pro-labor Lawyers
- \* Self-help paralegals
- \* Legal Centers at Universities
- \* Labor groups in mainland China
- \* Labor groups in HK
- \* Brands (?)



#### New challenge: Critical engagement with Brands on pilot training in China plants

- \* 2001 OSH Training in supplier factories
- \* 3 Brands: Reebok, Nike and Adidas
- OSH Committee set up after the training
- \* Goal of the model:
- OSH Training → elected OSH committee → communication mechanism between workers and management at plant level http://mhssn.igc.org/China3.htm



### Same model with more attempts after 2001...

- More attempts with individual brand in Toy sector
- Multi-stakeholder Initiatives (European based tripartite body: retailers, unions and ngos, and government on OSH Training in supplier plants)
- \* Such as ETI (UK), AVE/GTZ (Germany, Holland and BSCI (Europe)



#### More progressive CSR contents

\* Other than training:

--Shoe brand Reebok tried to union reform 2 supplier plants with official unions (2003)

--SAI (Social Accountability International) tried with 2 ngos on project end up having election of workers' representation (2005-6)

However, all these projects were not successful at the end due to intervention of the Chinese government...

### Why we engage with brands?

- Space for educating workers
- Ensure suppliers' comply with codes and local labor standards
- Try to create effective communication mechanism between workers and management in factory level
- \* Develop effective bottom-up direct complain mechanism for workers in factory where rights are abused.



#### Obstacles identified so far

- OSH Committee got too little power and resources to make real changes in plants
- Resistance for change from management at supplier plants
- Lack of sufficient follow up & support from the brands
- \* Government's intervention

### A case study --GP

 A CSR case with combine strategy— Gold Peak and Cadmium pioson

